

## REFERENCES

1. McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, et al. The quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(26):2635-2645.
2. US Department of Health and Human Services. Advance directives and advance care planning: report to Congress. August 2008. <http://aspe.hhs.gov/daltcp/reports/2008/ADCongRpt.pdf>. Accessed July 9, 2010.
3. Lafata JE, Kolk D, Peterson EL, et al. Improving osteoporosis screening: results from a randomized cluster trial. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22(3):346-351.
4. Leatherman S, McCarthy D. *Quality of Health Care in the United States: A Chartbook*. April 1, 2002;6. Commonwealth Fund. <http://www.commonwealthfund.org/Content/Publications/Chartbooks/2002/Apr/Quality-of-Health-Care-in-the-United-States-A-Chartbook.aspx>. Accessed December 4, 2009.
5. Margolius D, Bodenheimer T. Transforming primary care: from past practice to the practice of the future. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2010;29(5):779-784.
6. Reuben DB. Better care for older people with chronic diseases: an emerging vision. *JAMA*. 2007;298(22):2673-2674.
7. Weiner M, Callahan CM, Tierney WM, et al. Using information technology to improve the health care of older adults. *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;139(5, pt 2):430-436.
8. Neuwirth EB, Schmittiel JA, Tallman K, Bellows J. Understanding panel management: a comparative study of an emerging approach to population care. *Perm J*. 2007;11(3):12-20.
9. Shekelle PG, MacLean CH, Morton SC, Wenger NS. ACOVE quality indicators. *Ann Intern Med*. 2001;135(8, pt 2)(suppl, pt 2):653-667.
10. US Department of Health and Human Services. *The Pocket Guide to Staying Healthy 50+*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Dept of Health and Human Services; November 2003. AHRQ publication 04-IP001-A.
11. Takahashi PY, Okhravi HR, Lim LS, Kasten MJ. Preventive health care in the elderly population: a guide for practicing physicians. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2004;79(3):416-427.
12. National Institute on Aging. *Bound for Your Good Health: A Collection of Age Pages*. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2005.
13. US Preventive Services Task Force. *The Guide to Clinical Preventive Services 2007*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; September 2007. AHRQ publication 07-0510.
14. McDonald CJ, Hui SL, Smith DM, et al. Reminders to physicians from an interactive computer medical record: a two-year randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 1984;100(1):130-138.
15. Johnston ME, Langton KB, Haynes RB, Mathieu A. Effects of computer-based clinical decision support systems on clinician performance and patient outcome: a critical appraisal of research. *Ann Intern Med*. 1994;120(2):135-142.
16. Balas EA, Weingarten S, Garb CT, Blumenthal D, Boren SA, Brown GD. Improving preventive care by prompting physicians. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160(3):301-308.
17. Demakis JG, Beauchamp C, Cull WL, et al. Improving resident's compliance with standards of ambulatory care: results from the VA Cooperative Study on Computerized Reminders. *JAMA*. 2000;284(11):1411-1416.
18. Dexter PR, Wolinsky FD, Gramelspacher GP, et al. Effectiveness of computer-generated reminders for increasing discussions about advance directives and completion of advance directive forms: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 1998;128(2):102-110.
19. Heiman H, Bates DW, Fairchild D, Shaykevich S, Lehmann LS. Improving completion of advance directives in the primary care setting: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Med*. 2004;117(5):318-324.
20. Kanter M, Martinez O, Lindsay G, Andrews K, Denver C. Proactive office encounter: a systematic approach to preventive and chronic care at every patient encounter. *Perm J*. 2010;14(3):38-43.
21. Feldstein AC, Perrin NA, Unitan R, et al. Effect of a patient panel-support tool on care delivery. *Am J Manag Care*. 2010;16(10):e256-e266.
22. American College of Physicians. *The Advanced Medical Home: A Patient-Centered, Physician-Guided Model of Health Care*. Philadelphia, PA: American College of Physicians; 2005. Position paper.

## INVITED COMMENTARY

# Improving Population Health Through Team-Based Panel Management

A new concept is spreading rapidly across primary care practices: population management, also known as panel management. Panel management involves identifying and reaching out to patients—in the panel of a primary care practice or a primary care practitioner—who have unmet preventive and chronic condition care needs.<sup>1</sup>

Panel management can be viewed at 2 levels: as a fundamental culture change and as a set of operational details. The culture shift requires practitioners to think beyond the patients scheduled for this week's appointments and to assume responsibility for the health of all the patients in their panels, whether or not the patients seek care. The changes in the day-to-day function of the medical practice require a staff person to periodically review the clinical registry, to identify care gaps (deficiencies in preventive or chronic condition care), and to arrange for patients to address those care gaps. Examples of care gaps are a 55-year-old woman failing to have a mammogram for 4 years or a patient with diabetes who is overdue for a hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol laboratory test or for a foot or eye examination.

Panel management—which largely consists of routine functions not requiring clinical decisions—can be performed by unlicensed personnel using physician-written standing orders based on clinical practice guidelines. Removing the responsibility for panel management from busy and highly trained practitioners gives them more time to focus on patient-generated agenda items, more complex diagnostic and management problems, and the development of enduring relationships with patients. Panel management thus has 2 purposes: to improve preventive and chronic condition care for a population of patients and to redistribute work in the primary care practice so that practitioners have more time for complex functions that require their level of knowledge and skill.

Loo and colleagues<sup>2</sup> conducted a 3-arm study of the effects of panel management outreach and electronic medical record (EMR) reminders for 4 geriatric preventive-care quality measures: documentation of health care proxy, osteoporosis screening, and influenza and pneumococcal vaccination. This trial of 4660 patients took place in hospital-based academic practices. The authors found that EMR reminders alone and EMR reminders plus

panel management improved all 4 measures compared with the control group. They also found that EMR reminders plus panel management outreach, performed through telephone calls and letters by an off-site administrative assistant, compared with EMR reminders alone increased documentation of health care proxy (odds ratio, 2.34) and bone density screening (odds ratio, 1.80), but not vaccination rates.

Based on these findings, the authors suggest a 2-tiered approach using physician-directed EMR reminder tools for most preventive interventions and reserving panel management for interventions that require more time and effort. Indeed, completion of health proxy documentation and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry scans requires more patient education and motivational interviewing to successfully engage patients in action and follow-up outside the primary care visit. These study findings demonstrate that a trained team member with dedicated time for outreach can significantly improve the delivery of time-intensive preventive care. Panel management outreach has been shown to improve other preventive and chronic condition care measures. For example, patients with diabetes who received letters from a panel manager reminding them to address care gaps had better process and outcome measures than patients whose physicians were responsible to close the care gaps.<sup>3</sup> Outreach separate from physician visits has also been shown to improve colorectal cancer screening.<sup>4</sup>

Panel management, as a team function, need not be limited to outreach, that is, contacting patients by mail or telephone. The other model of panel management—"in-reach"—addresses care gaps in preventive or chronic condition services while the patient is physically in the practice. The principle of in-reach is "every patient, every time"—whenever a patient shows up for any problem, a team member, often a medical assistant, with access to a list of the patient's care gaps arranges for the patient to close those gaps—to have blood drawn for overdue laboratory tests, to bring home fecal occult blood testing supplies, or to make a mammogram appointment.<sup>5</sup> To fully realize its potential, in-reach needs at least 3 things: an EMR to display a screen showing up-to-date care gaps for every patient in a practice's panel, medical assistants to be well trained in panel management, and physicians to write standing orders for the medical assistants. In-reach can be more challenging for practices to implement, for it requires a cultural and workflow transformation of the entire team so that routine preventive and chronic condition care is delivered with as little involvement of the practitioner as possible for most patients.

In 3 separate studies,<sup>5-7</sup> in-reach panel management facilitated by EMR reminders has been shown to improve quality measures. While Loo and colleagues<sup>2</sup> found that, compared with control patients, physician-directed EMR reminders improved pneumococcal vaccination rates among eligible patients with care gaps, the postintervention practice rates were 41.4% for the control patients and 47.1% for the EMR reminder plus panel

management patients. It is possible that this rate could be improved by panel management in-reach at the time of patient visits.

Although outreach can be performed by a small number of designated panel managers, in-reach requires that all medical assistants be trained to provide panel management to the patients empanelled to the team in which they are working. This means that the primary care practice must initiate widespread training on basic preventive and chronic condition care and role redefinition. Moreover, personnel engaged in panel management need interpersonal skills in health coaching to explain procedures, engage patients in a culturally and linguistically concordant manner, and assist patients in navigating health care institutions.<sup>8</sup> Panel management may play a particularly effective role in decreasing health care disparities for low-literacy, lower socioeconomic-level, limited English-proficient, and underserved racial and ethnic groups.<sup>9,10</sup>

Under health care reform, demand for services is likely to increase as the uninsured gain coverage. Given the already strained supply of primary care practitioners, it will be critical to use panel managers and other team approaches to extend the reach of primary care practitioners.

Ellen H. Chen, MD  
Thomas Bodenheimer, MD

**Author Affiliations:** Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of California, San Francisco.

**Correspondence:** Dr Chen, Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, Bldg 80-83, San Francisco General Hospital, 1001 Potrero Ave, San Francisco, CA 94110 (elchen@fcm.usf.edu).

**Financial Disclosure:** None reported.

1. Neuwirth EE, Schmittiel JA, Tallman K, Bellows J. Understanding panel management: a comparative study of an emerging approach to population care. *Perm J*. 2007;11(3):12-20.
2. Loo TS, Davis RB, Lipsitz LA, et al. Electronic medical record reminders and panel management to improve primary care of elderly patients. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(17):1552-1558.
3. Stroebel RJ, Scheitel SM, Fitz JS, et al. A randomized trial of three diabetes registry implementation strategies in a community internal medicine practice. *Jt Comm J Qual Improv*. 2002;28(8):441-450.
4. Hoffman RM, Steel SR, Yee EF, et al. A system-based intervention to improve colorectal cancer screening uptake. *Am J Manag Care*. 2011;17(1):49-55.
5. Kanter M, Martinez O, Lindsay G, Andrews K, Denver C. Proactive office encounter: a systematic approach to preventive and chronic care at every patient encounter. *Perm J*. 2010;14(3):38-43.
6. Feldstein AC, Perrin NA, Unitan R, et al. Effect of a patient panel-support tool on care delivery. *Am J Manag Care*. 2010;16(10):e256-e266.
7. Baker AN, Parsons M, Donnelly SM, et al. Improving colon cancer screening rates in primary care: a pilot study emphasising the role of the medical assistant. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2009;18(5):355-359.
8. Bennett HD, Coleman EA, Parry C, Bodenheimer T, Chen EH. Health coaching for patients with chronic illness. *Fam Pract Manag*. 2010;17(5):24-29.
9. Pignone M, Lewis C. Improving colorectal cancer screening in 2011: comment on "Patient Outreach to Promote Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Patients With an Expired Order for Colonoscopy." *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(7):647-648.
10. Lasser KE, Murillo J, Lisboa S, et al. Colorectal cancer screening among ethnically diverse, low-income patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(10):906-912.